UPSC CSE & APSC — COMPREHENSIVE STUDY MODULE

# Resource Nationalism, Energy Security & India's Coal Imperatives

*Indonesia's Export Nationalisation | India's Energy Transition | Geopolitics of Commodities*

**GS Paper II | GS Paper III | Essay | Ethics**

*Prepared by Sourobh | UPSC & APSC Preparation Series | 2026*

## Section 1 — Key Terms and Explanations

Understanding the vocabulary of this issue is not optional — it is the foundation on which every analytical argument is built. A student who can define 'resource nationalism' precisely in an examination hall, and immediately connect it to contemporary policy, is already miles ahead of someone who only vaguely grasps the idea. Study each term carefully, noting its conceptual depth and policy relevance.

| Term / Concept | Definition & Significance |
|---|---|
| **Resource Nationalism** | The tendency of governments to assert greater state control over natural resources — through nationalisation, windfall taxes, export restrictions, or mandatory state participation — treating resources not merely as commercial commodities but as strategic national assets. Examples include Mexico's oil nationalisation (1938), OPEC's assertiveness in the 1970s, and Indonesia's current coal policy. |
| **Thermal Coal (Non-Coking Coal)** | Coal used exclusively for generating heat and electricity, as opposed to metallurgical or coking coal used in steelmaking. Thermal coal has lower carbon content and calorific value. It fuels Thermal Power Plants (TPPs) and industrial kilns. Indonesia's exports to India are predominantly of this category — low-to-medium calorific value. |
| **Calorific Value (CV)** | The heat energy released when a unit mass of coal is completely burned. Measured in kilocalories per kilogram (kcal/kg) or Gross Calorific Value (GCV). High-CV coal burns more efficiently; low-CV coal is cheaper but requires larger volumes and is unsuitable for plants not engineered for it. |
| **State-Owned Enterprise (SOE)** | A government-controlled business entity that operates commercial functions. In the Indonesian context, Bukit Asam and other state entities would handle all coal exports under the proposed single-channel model. India has Coal India Limited (CIL) as its dominant SOE in coal. |
| **Coal India Limited (CIL)** | The world's largest coal-producing company, a Maharatna public sector undertaking under India's Ministry of Coal. CIL accounts for over 80% of India's domestic coal production and is central to India's energy security strategy and fuel self-sufficiency goals. |
| **Blending Mandate** | A policy directive by India's Power Ministry requiring thermal power plants to mix a proportion of imported coal — typically up to 6-10% — with domestically sourced coal, especially during monsoon season when domestic mines face waterlogging and output disruption. This ensures uninterrupted electricity generation. |
| **Energy Security** | The uninterrupted availability of energy at an affordable price. It encompasses physical availability, infrastructure, price stability, supply chain resilience, and fuel diversification. India's energy security is constrained by import dependence on coal, crude oil, and LNG. |
| **Supply Chain Shock** | A sudden, severe disruption to the flow of goods or commodities from producers to consumers — caused by policy changes, geopolitical conflict, natural disasters, or infrastructure failures. The Indonesian nationalisation creates exactly this risk for India's coastal power plants. |
| **Coking Coal vs Non-Coking Coal** | Coking coal has high carbon content and strong coking properties; it is essential for steel production. Australia primarily exports coking coal to |

| | |
|---|---|
| | India. Non-coking (thermal) coal, primarily from Indonesia, is used only for power generation and industrial heating. |
| **Price Floor Enforcement** | When an exporting nation (or its state entity) sets a minimum export price below which sales are not permitted. This eliminates competitive price discovery through direct buyer-miner negotiation — a key mechanism Indian buyers have historically used to lower procurement costs. |
| **Geopolitical Uncertainty / Market Disruption** | International political tensions, conflicts, or policy surprises that create volatility in commodity markets. The Russia-Ukraine war disrupted European energy supply, redirecting coal demand and raising prices globally — an example of geopolitical uncertainty triggering market disruption. |
| **GCV (Gross Calorific Value)** | The total heat energy released from complete combustion including the latent heat of water vapour formed. It is the standard unit used for pricing and procurement of thermal coal in international trade. |
| **Windfall Profits / Export Tariff** | When commodity prices surge unexpectedly, producer nations may impose export taxes or windfall levies to capture a share of the surplus. This is a fiscal instrument of resource nationalism — generating government revenue while simultaneously making exports less competitive internationally. |
| **Monsoon Vulnerability of Domestic Coal** | India's coal mines — especially those in Jharkhand, Odisha, and Chhattisgarh — face waterlogging and output disruption during June–September monsoon. This seasonal vulnerability has historically driven the blending mandate and import dependence during that period. |
| **Seaborne Imports** | Coal or commodities transported via ocean shipping, as distinct from piped gas or overland supply. India's import dependence on seaborne coal makes port infrastructure and shipping logistics critical variables in energy planning. |

# Section 2 — Main Arguments and Substantive Parts

The contemporary coal debate is not simply about one country's export policy. It sits at the intersection of global commodity politics, energy transition anxieties, resource nationalism, and India's strategic autonomy in energy planning. The following points constitute the core intellectual structure of this issue.

## 2.1 The Core Thesis — Resource as Strategy, Not Just Commerce

- The central argument is that energy resources — coal, oil, lithium, gas — are no longer purely economic commodities. Governments across the developing world are increasingly treating them as instruments of geopolitical leverage and domestic developmental finance.
- Indonesia's proposed single-SOE export model is the clearest recent illustration: by eliminating direct buyer-miner negotiation and channelling all exports through a state entity, Jakarta aims to control price discovery, ensure higher fiscal revenues, and reduce the influence of international commodity traders.
- This is not Indonesian exceptionalism — it is part of a structural shift. Mexico and Chile are asserting state primacy over lithium; OPEC members coordinate oil output; Russia has weaponised gas pipelines. The commodity state is making a comeback globally.

## 2.2 India's Import Dependence — Scope and Structure

- India's total coal imports stood at roughly 243.62 million tonnes in FY25. While the headline number has fallen by about 7.9%, the qualitative dependence on Indonesian low-CV coal for blending in coastal TPPs remains structurally embedded.
- Several coastal power plants in Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, and Andhra Pradesh were engineered specifically to run on imported coal or mixed fuel. Retrofitting or switching them to domestic coal would require significant capital expenditure and time, making immediate substitution impractical.
- Indonesia historically supplied roughly 60% of India's seaborne coal imports in 2024. Even as this has fallen to around 40% by mid-2025, the remaining dependency is concentrated in sectors — coastal TPPs and cement kilns — that have limited short-term flexibility.

## 2.3 India's Structural Cushion — Domestic Production and Supplier Diversification

- India crossed the symbolic 1 billion tonne domestic coal production milestone in FY25 — a significant achievement in terms of reducing import substitution. CIL and Singareni Collieries Company Limited (SCCL) have together expanded output significantly.
- Blending imports for TPPs dropped by 41.4% year-on-year in FY25, even as coal-based power generation grew by 3% — indicating that domestic coal has successfully absorbed a significant share of demand that was previously met by imports.
- Supplier diversification has accelerated: Russian and South African coal shipments have increased sharply, partially replacing Indonesian volumes. This is a direct result of policy-driven supply chain rebalancing prompted by earlier disruptions.

## 2.4 The Real Victims — Differential Exposure

- India is not equally exposed across all sectors. The countries genuinely cornered by Indonesian nationalisation are those with deeper structural dependencies — the Philippines and Bangladesh — where alternatives are fewer and energy systems less diversified.

- India's exposure is significant in coastal cement and power sectors but buffered by its large domestic production base, ongoing substitution policy, and active supplier diversification efforts.
- The risk is not existential for India but is real enough to demand strategic seriousness — particularly for the blending segment and for medium-term price management.

## 2.5 The Counterargument — Risks of the SOE Model

- Critics of Indonesia's nationalisation model point to execution risks: centralised state control of export logistics may create bureaucratic delays, pricing opacity, and politicisation of allocations — all of which could make Indonesian coal less attractive than alternatives.
- There is a historical precedent: countries that have centralised commodity exports — sometimes through poorly managed SOEs — have experienced competitiveness losses and market share erosion in the medium term.
- If price floors are set artificially high or allocation becomes politically driven, it may accelerate India's substitution away from Indonesian coal, ironically hurting Indonesia's own export revenues.

# Section 3 — Historical Evolution of the Issue

The tension between resource ownership and commercial exploitation is one of the oldest in political economy. Understanding its historical arc helps a UPSC aspirant locate current developments within a longer pattern of cause and effect.

## 3.1 Colonial Era — Extraction Without Sovereignty

- British India's coal production was largely organised for imperial benefit — fuelling railways, steamships, and industrial plants. Raniganj coalfields (1774) and Jharia coalfields (Jharkhand) became the backbone of colonial industrial supply, but royalties and surpluses flowed to imperial coffers, not Indian communities.
- The structural lesson from this period: natural resources under foreign control finance external economic growth, not domestic development. This memory powerfully animates post-colonial resource nationalism — including in Indonesia, which experienced Dutch colonial extraction.

## 3.2 Post-Independence — Nationalisation and State Control in India (1940s–1970s)

- India nationalised its coal industry in two phases: coking coal mines in 1971-72 and non-coking coal mines in 1973, bringing virtually all coal production under state control through CIL's predecessor entities.
- This was driven by Nehruvian socialist ideology, the belief that 'commanding heights' industries must be state-controlled, and the need to prevent monopolistic exploitation of a resource critical for national development.
- The 1973 Oil Crisis globally demonstrated that commodity-exporting nations could leverage resources for geopolitical influence — reinforcing the logic of state control over energy inputs.

## 3.3 Global Resource Nationalism Wave (1970s–2000s)

- OPEC's oil embargo (1973) is the paradigmatic case — producers weaponising commodity supply to influence geopolitics. It triggered a global rethink of energy security and began the era of strategic petroleum reserves.
- Post-Soviet resource nationalism in the 1990s and 2000s saw Russia assert control over Gazprom, and Venezuela under Chavez nationalise oil assets. Bolivia, Ecuador, and Congo followed in various extractive sectors.
- Indonesia itself imposed progressive coal export restrictions from the 2000s — domestic market obligations (DMO), requiring miners to sell a portion at capped prices domestically before exporting. The current SOE model is an escalation of this trajectory.

## 3.4 India's Coal Import Regime — Growth and Dependence (2000s–2020s)

- India's power demand growth in the 2000s outpaced domestic coal production, leading to chronic shortages and a surge in imports — particularly from Indonesia and Australia. Coastal TPPs were built with imported coal assumptions embedded in their design.
- The 2014 Supreme Court judgment cancelling 214 coal block allocations — the coal scam ruling — temporarily reduced domestic production capacity and deepened import dependence.
- Post-2019, India embarked on a major domestic production push, with CIL and captive mines expanding output. The COVID-19 period temporarily reduced demand, but post-2021 electricity demand surged, reviving import pressures.

## 3.5 Recent Period — Diversification and the Indonesian Escalation (2022–2026)

- The Russia-Ukraine war (2022) disrupted global energy markets and redirected European buyers toward coal, tightening global supply. India strategically increased Russian coal imports at discounted prices, both for diversification and cost advantage.
- Indonesia's January 2022 export ban — a temporary halt on coal exports to ensure domestic supply during its own power crisis — shocked Indian buyers who had grown complacent about Indonesian supply reliability. This was a significant wake-up call.
- The proposed SOE model under President Prabowo (2025-26) represents a qualitative escalation: from episodic export restrictions to structural state capture of the entire export channel — a shift from tactical resource nationalism to strategic.

# Section 4 — Logical and Philosophical Base

Every policy has a philosophical DNA — a set of assumptions about the state, markets, sovereignty, and justice that underlie it. Unpacking this logic helps a student engage with the issue at a deeper level — one that examiners reward in essay and GS Paper IV answers.

## 4.1 The Logic of Economic Sovereignty

- The most fundamental argument behind resource nationalism is that a nation's natural resources belong to its people — not to the market, not to foreign buyers, and not to private intermediaries. This is enshrined in the UN General Assembly Resolution 1803 (1962) on Permanent Sovereignty over Natural Resources.
- From this perspective, allowing foreign corporations or domestic private actors to negotiate and profit from resource extraction without state mediation represents an incomplete realisation of sovereignty. The SOE model seeks to close this gap.
- Philosophically, this draws from the Lockean tradition of collective trusteeship — the idea that land and natural resources, unlike human-made goods, are part of a common inheritance that must serve the common good.

## 4.2 The Logic of Developmental Finance

- Resource-exporting nations — especially in the Global South — often face a structural fiscal dilemma: abundant natural wealth but insufficient tax revenues. Centralising export control through an SOE is a mechanism to capture resource rents more effectively.
- This reflects the influence of the 'resource curse' debate: without institutional mechanisms to capture and redistribute resource revenues, commodity wealth tends to benefit private actors (including foreign ones) rather than national development — as seen in colonial history.
- The economic logic is straightforward: if buyers are forced to negotiate with a single state seller rather than competing among many private miners, the seller has enhanced bargaining power, enabling price floor enforcement and higher per-unit revenue.

## 4.3 The Logic of Energy Security (India's Perspective)

- India's countervailing logic is equally rooted in economic sovereignty — specifically, the right to secure affordable, reliable energy for its 1.4 billion citizens. Energy security is a prerequisite for developmental rights, including the right to electricity and industrialisation.
- From a Rawlsian justice perspective, India's energy security policies must prioritise the interests of the least advantaged — the poor who depend on electricity for water, light, and productive activity. Supply shocks that raise electricity prices are deeply regressive in their impact.
- Amartya Sen's capability approach would endorse this: energy access expands human capabilities. Policies that jeopardise affordable electricity — whether through import nationalism or domestic monopoly — constrain human development.

## 4.4 The Philosophical Tension — State vs Market in Resource Governance

- At the core of this issue is an old tension between state-led and market-driven resource governance. Neoliberal orthodoxy (Washington Consensus era) argued that private markets allocate resources more efficiently, that nationalised enterprises stagnate, and that foreign investment requires secure property rights.

- Post-2008 and especially post-pandemic, the pendulum has swung back: states are reasserting control over strategic sectors. The Indonesian SOE model reflects this global recalibration — one that India itself has partly embraced in its own energy sector policies.
- The philosophical question remains unresolved: can state-controlled commodity export systems deliver the pricing efficiency, logistical reliability, and transparency that private markets have historically provided? This is an empirical question that history answers ambiguously.

# Section 5 — New Features and Unique Ideas

What makes the current phase of resource nationalism analytically interesting — and UPSC-relevant — is not just that it is happening, but how it is happening. Several features distinguish this wave from historical precedents and carry original policy implications.

## 5.1 The Single-Channel SOE Export Model — A Novel Architecture

- The proposed model of routing all exports through a single state-owned enterprise is structurally different from previous forms of Indonesian resource nationalism, which typically involved DMO requirements or export taxes. A single-seller model fundamentally changes the buyer-seller relationship from multilateral negotiation to bilateral monopoly.
- Feasibility concerns are significant: Indonesia has over 100 active coal export companies. Integrating their logistics, contracts, and shipment pipelines through a single SOE within a three-month transition window is operationally ambitious to the point of being unrealistic without severe disruption.
- However, even partial implementation — where the SOE becomes a mandatory intermediary for regulatory clearance and pricing — could achieve many of the revenue and control objectives without requiring full operational consolidation.

## 5.2 India's 1 Billion Tonne Production Milestone — Strategic Significance

- India crossing the 1 billion tonne coal production mark in FY25 is not merely a production statistic — it represents the first point in independent India's history where domestic supply has a plausible claim to meeting total demand, if efficiency and logistics improve.
- The government's stated target of zero substitutable coal imports by FY26 is bold and strategically motivated — it reframes India's energy identity from a dependent importer to a self-sufficient producer, with diplomatic and commercial implications.
- However, the word 'substitutable' is doing important work in that target. Imported coal used for specific coastal plant configurations or specific quality requirements may not be easily substitutable even if the total volume is. Quality differentiation matters.

## 5.3 Supplier Diversification as a Geopolitical Instrument

- India's active diversification toward Russian and South African coal reflects a sophisticated understanding that energy security is not just about volume — it is about not being strategically vulnerable to any single exporter's policy decisions.
- This mirrors India's broader foreign policy posture of 'strategic autonomy' — maintaining multiple parallel relationships to avoid exclusive dependence on any one partner. The same logic that governs India's military procurement is being applied to energy supply chains.
- The novel element here is that India is deliberately absorbing short-term cost increases (South African coal is more expensive) to build long-term supply chain resilience — a trade-off that reflects mature energy planning rather than pure cost optimisation.

## 5.4 The Differential Exposure Framework — Bangladesh and the Philippines

- The observation that India is less exposed than headline numbers suggest — and that Bangladesh and the Philippines are the genuinely vulnerable countries — introduces a differential exposure framework for analysing commodity supply shocks.

- Bangladesh imports nearly 70% of its power sector coal from Indonesia and has limited domestic alternatives, no significant coal production base, and a power grid heavily dependent on coal-fired generation. This makes it existentially vulnerable to Indonesian policy shifts.
- This differential vulnerability analysis has important implications for regional diplomacy: India could potentially use its own coal export capacity or diplomatic leverage to cushion friendly neighbours like Bangladesh against the impact of Indonesian nationalisation.

# Section 6 — Sustainability of the Idea

Sustainability here is understood across multiple dimensions — not just environmental, but also economic, legal, social, and political. Both Indonesia's SOE model and India's domestic substitution strategy must be assessed on these axes.

## 6.1 Environmental Sustainability

- From a global climate perspective, any policy that extends the commercial life of coal — whether through nationalised export revenues in Indonesia or expanded domestic production in India — is at tension with the Paris Agreement commitments both countries have ratified. Coal remains the most carbon-intensive fossil fuel.
- India's COP26 commitment to achieve net zero by 2070 and to have 50% of electricity from non-fossil sources by 2030 sits uncomfortably alongside domestic coal production targets of 1.5 billion tonnes by 2030. The contradiction between energy security and climate commitment is real and unresolved.
- Indonesia's coal export revenues support its own economy, and the SOE model does not address the environmental externalities of coal combustion — it simply captures more financial value from an environmentally costly commodity. Green transition incentives must accompany, not replace, revenue maximisation.

## 6.2 Economic Sustainability

- For Indonesia: the SOE model's economic sustainability depends on whether it can maintain buyer confidence. If buyers — particularly price-sensitive Asian utilities — shift to alternatives, the loss of market share could offset the gains from higher per-unit pricing. The risk of a 'resource nationalism trap' is real.
- For India: domestic coal production scaling to 1.5 billion tonnes by 2029-30 is economically sustainable only if it is accompanied by proportional investment in coal logistics infrastructure — railways, coal handling plants, washeries, and port capacity. Production without logistics is production wasted.
- The long-term economic rationale for coal in both countries is also constrained by declining renewable energy costs: solar and wind are now cost-competitive in most markets, and their costs continue to fall. Coal's economic case weakens as the energy transition accelerates.

## 6.3 Constitutional and Legal Sustainability

- In India, coal mining and allocation are governed under the Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act (MMDR Act), the Coal Mines (Nationalisation) Act, and the Electricity Act 2003. The blending mandate issued by the Power Ministry must be consistent with the Electricity Act's mandate of affordable electricity supply.
- The 2014 Supreme Court coal block cancellation created a precedent that arbitrary, opaque resource allocation is constitutionally unacceptable. Any future allocation policy — including CIL's expanded auctions — must demonstrate procedural fairness and transparency.
- Indonesia's SOE model would need to be consistent with its WTO commitments on export restrictions and state trading enterprises, as well as bilateral investment treaties with countries whose companies are currently active in Indonesian coal mining.

## 6.4 Ethical and Societal Sustainability

- The social cost of coal — air pollution, displacement of mining communities, groundwater contamination, and occupational health hazards — must be factored into any sustainability assessment. India has over 500,000 workers directly employed in coal mining; an abrupt energy transition creates social dislocation that policy must address.
- Just Transition — the principle that climate action must protect the livelihoods of communities dependent on fossil fuel industries — is increasingly a global ethical standard. Both India and Indonesia have obligations to their coal-dependent populations even as they pursue energy diversification.

# Section 7 — Challenges Related to the Issue

Every policy encounter with reality produces friction. Understanding what specific challenges arise — and why — helps a student anticipate exam questions and construct analytically rich answers.

## 7.1 Implementation Challenges — Indonesia's SOE Model

- Operational complexity: Consolidating the export operations of over 100 active coal companies through a single SOE within three months is logistically unrealistic. Each company has its own contracts, shipping arrangements, quality certifications, and buyer relationships. Forced integration risks contract breaches and shipping delays.
- Price discovery loss: The current market-based negotiation between Indonesian miners and global buyers produces price signals that reflect real supply-demand conditions. A single-seller SOE model suppresses these signals, potentially leading to mispricing, quality disputes, and reduced investment in new mines.
- Corruption and rent-seeking risk: Centralised allocation of coal export quotas and contracts through a state entity creates significant opportunities for rent extraction and political favouritism — a concern that has plagued similar SOE models historically in commodity sectors.

## 7.2 Infrastructure Challenges — India's Domestic Production Scale-Up

- Railway capacity constraint: Coal movement from mines in Jharkhand, Odisha, and Chhattisgarh to coastal power plants requires dedicated freight corridors. Indian Railways' capacity is already stretched, and the DFC (Dedicated Freight Corridor) network is still being built. Production without movement is meaningless.
- Coal quality mismatch: Domestic Indian coal generally has higher ash content than Indonesian imports. Coastal TPPs configured for low-ash imported coal cannot simply switch to high-ash domestic coal without boiler modifications and potential efficiency losses.
- Washery infrastructure: Coal washeries that clean and upgrade raw coal quality are inadequately developed in India. Without washery infrastructure, high-ash coal cannot be processed to meet the quality standards required by coastal plants.

## 7.3 Market and Geopolitical Challenges

- Australia pivot: Australian coal (premium coking coal) serves a different market segment from Indonesian thermal coal. Scaling up Australian thermal coal imports to replace Indonesian supply would require different shipping arrangements, pricing negotiations, and potentially plant modifications.
- Russian supply risk: While India has diversified toward Russian coal, this creates a different geopolitical exposure — one that Western partners view with concern, and which could become a diplomatic friction point in India's engagement with the G7 and EU.
- South African logistical constraints: South Africa's Richards Bay Coal Terminal (RBCT) — its primary coal export hub — has faced railway and operational constraints that have limited export volumes. South African coal is not infinitely scalable as an alternative.

## 7.4 Stakeholder Resistance

- Private sector resistance in Indonesia: Indonesian private coal miners, many of which have long-standing international contracts and are owned by influential business conglomerates, will

resist nationalisation of their export channels. Political economy within Indonesia will shape how far Prabowo's government can push this agenda.

- State vs private tension in India: Private power producers and cement manufacturers importing Indonesian coal are not CIL customers. They negotiate directly. Any policy that forces them into a state-mediated procurement system — whether in Indonesia or hypothetically in India — generates commercial resistance.

# Section 8 — Multidimensional Analysis

A strong UPSC answer integrates multiple perspectives without being superficial about any one of them. The following analysis is organised across six dimensions, each with substantive points that can be adapted for different question types.

## 8.1 Social Dimension

- Energy poverty linkage: India has approximately 300 million people living in energy-poor conditions — with unreliable or no access to electricity. Coal-fired power remains the backbone of the grid that reaches rural households. Any disruption to coal supply disproportionately affects communities that lack backup alternatives such as generators or solar setups.
- Health costs of coal: At the same time, the social cost of coal combustion — in terms of air pollution, respiratory disease, and premature mortality — is enormous. Studies suggest that coal pollution contributes to several lakh premature deaths annually in India. The social optimum is not maximum coal, but the minimum coal compatible with reliable electricity provision during the energy transition.
- Coal mining communities: In Jharkhand, Odisha, and parts of Assam, coal mining is the primary livelihood for tribal and Scheduled Tribe communities. Any disruption — whether from domestic production expansion or import substitution — must account for the social fabric of these communities. In Assam particularly, the legacy of Assam Coal Fields and community dependence on extractive sector employment is a live issue.
- Gender dimension: Women in energy-poor households bear a disproportionate burden — collecting fuel, managing cooking and heating with inefficient biomass. Reliable and affordable electricity — secured partly through coal — has significant gender empowerment implications that are often underappreciated in energy security debates.

## 8.2 Political Dimension

- Domestic politics of coal in India: Coal allocation and production decisions are politically sensitive, involving state governments (coal-bearing states), union governments, CIL management, and private producer lobbies. The coal block allocation scam demonstrated how deeply politically embedded coal governance can become. Any new policy must navigate these power structures.
- Indonesia's domestic politics: President Prabowo's nationalisation proposal reflects not just economic logic but political signalling — of sovereignty, of anti-elite resource redistribution, and of nationalist credentials. The political coalition behind this policy is complex, and opposition from business elites may moderate its final form.
- India-Indonesia bilateral relations: India is Indonesia's significant trade partner, and the two countries share ASEAN and IPEF (Indo-Pacific Economic Framework) memberships. A supply shock caused by Indonesian nationalisation would test bilateral diplomatic channels, potentially requiring government-to-government negotiations to maintain supply continuity.
- Federalism in Indian coal governance: Coal is a Union List subject (Entry 54), but land acquisition, environment clearances, and tribal rights (PESA, FRA) involve state and local governance. The politics of coal expansion involves multiple layers of Indian federalism, making rapid scaling difficult without inter-governmental coordination.

## 8.3 Legal Dimension

- MMDR Act and coal block allocations: Post the 2014 Supreme Court judgment (Common Cause v. Union of India) that cancelled 214 coal block allocations for being arbitrarily granted, India

moved to competitive coal block auctions. This is the legal architecture within which any expansion of domestic production must operate — transparent, competitive, and judicially reviewable.

- WTO compliance for Indonesia: The single-SOE export model potentially violates WTO's rules on state trading enterprises (Article XVII of GATT) if the SOE uses monopoly power to discriminate between buyers or impose export restrictions inconsistent with bound commitments. Indonesia's WTO obligations constrain the implementation of its nationalisation plan.
- Environmental law and coal expansion: In India, expanding coal mining into new areas requires clearances under the Environment Protection Act 1986, the Forest Conservation Act (amended 2023), and compliance with the National Green Tribunal's orders. Legal friction in this area is real and has delayed several CIL expansion projects.
- Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs): Indonesia has BITs with several countries whose companies operate in its coal sector. Forced nationalisation or channelling of exports through an SOE without adequate compensation could trigger investor-state arbitration claims under these treaties.

## 8.4 Ethical Dimension

- Intergenerational justice and climate: Burning coal today imposes costs on future generations through climate change. The ethical principle of intergenerational equity — codified in sustainable development doctrine — demands that current energy choices do not foreclose options for future generations. Expanding coal production in the name of present energy security sits in tension with this principle.
- Justice for coal-dependent communities: The ethics of energy transition must include a Just Transition framework. Communities whose livelihoods depend on coal extraction and generation cannot be abandoned as the energy system shifts. The ethical obligation extends to retraining, alternative livelihood creation, and maintaining energy access during the transition period.
- Fairness of resource nationalism: Is it ethically legitimate for resource-rich nations to use commodity control as economic leverage? There is a defensible case: nations with resources have a right to maximise developmental returns from those resources. The ethically problematic version is when resource nationalism creates artificial scarcity, raises prices for poor-country buyers, and functions as coercion.
- Transparency in state-controlled allocation: Centralised allocation through an SOE raises ethical concerns about transparency, fairness, and the potential for corruption. The ethical standard is not state control per se but accountable, transparent, non-discriminatory allocation — which can be difficult to achieve in practice.

## 8.5 International Dimension

- Indo-Pacific supply chain reconfiguration: The energy security challenge is reshaping supply chains across the Indo-Pacific. Indonesia's coal is not just an economic commodity — it is a factor in the geopolitical economy of Southeast Asia, South Asia, and East Asia simultaneously. How Indonesia prices and allocates coal will influence regional political alignments.
- BIMSTEC and regional energy cooperation: India's neighbourhood — Bangladesh, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka — is significantly exposed to Indonesian coal disruption. A BIMSTEC-level energy security dialogue that includes supply chain diversification and emergency reserve arrangements could serve regional interests.
- India's strategic diversification toward Russia: India's increased coal imports from Russia reflect the same logic as its oil imports — leveraging discounted prices while managing Western diplomatic sensitivities. This positions India as a buyer willing to maintain commercial

relationships across geopolitical divides, which has both economic benefits and diplomatic costs.

- Critical Minerals and the Coal-Lithium nexus: Indonesia's assertion of state control over coal sits alongside its earlier assertion of control over nickel (a critical mineral for EV batteries). Together, these moves position Indonesia as a resource nationalist state willing to leverage its endowments across the energy transition spectrum — from fossil fuels to clean energy inputs.

## 8.6 Economic Dimension

- Forex savings and current account: India's 7.9% decline in coal imports in FY25 translated into approximately USD 7.93 billion in foreign exchange savings. For a country managing a structural current account deficit, this is macroeconomically significant — directly impacting the rupee's external value and foreign reserve adequacy.
- Price transmission and inflation: Coal prices directly affect electricity tariffs, which in turn affect industrial input costs across the economy. A supply-driven coal price increase — whether caused by Indonesian nationalisation or domestic production shortfalls — would eventually transmit as inflationary pressure in electricity-intensive sectors like steel, cement, aluminium, and textiles.
- CIL's commercial challenge: Scaling CIL production to substitute imports while maintaining quality standards and competitive pricing requires significant capital investment, technology upgradation, and workforce management. CIL's financial health and operational efficiency are therefore directly relevant to India's macroeconomic management.
- Coastal power plant economics: Plants built to run on imported coal operate on specific cost assumptions. Switching them to more expensive domestic coal or costlier alternative imports raises the levelised cost of electricity, with downstream effects on distribution companies' (DISCOMs) financial health — already a chronic weakness in India's electricity sector.

## Section 9 — Linkages with NCERTs

NCERT textbooks are the conceptual vocabulary of UPSC preparation. The following linkages are not superficial — each represents a genuine intellectual connection between the issue and core NCERT content that a student should revisit and annotate.

- Class 12 Political Science — Contemporary World Politics (Chapter on Environment and Natural Resources): The chapter discusses how natural resources have become sites of geopolitical competition, including references to oil politics and the debates around sovereignty over resources. The Indonesian SOE model is a live application of these themes.
- Class 12 Economics — Macroeconomics (Chapter on Balance of Payments): Coal imports contribute to India's import bill and affect the current account deficit. The 7.93 billion USD savings from reduced coal imports is a direct application of BoP concepts — how trade flows affect external sector health.
- Class 11 Economics — Indian Economic Development (Chapter on Energy): This chapter discusses India's energy sources, the role of coal in primary energy supply, and the challenges of energy security. Students should annotate this with current data on domestic production milestones and import dependency trends.
- Class 10 Geography — Resources and Development (Chapter on Mineral and Energy Resources): This foundational chapter introduces the concept of coal as a resource, types of coal, and India's major coalfields. It provides the factual base — Jharia, Raniganj, Korba — that contextualises the production expansion discussion.
- Class 12 Geography — India — People and Economy (Chapter on Energy Resources): This chapter specifically discusses the classification of energy sources, India's import dependence on petroleum, and the energy security challenge. The coal import picture fits naturally into this framework.
- Class 12 Political Science — Indian Constitution at Work / Politics in India Since Independence: Discussions of federalism, the Concurrent and Union Lists, and state-centre relations are directly relevant to understanding who controls coal policy in India and how Centre-state dynamics shape coal governance.
- Class 12 Economics — Indian Economic Development (Chapter on Economic Reforms): The liberalisation of coal block auctions post-2014, the role of competitive auctions in replacing discretionary allocations, and the tension between private capital and public sector enterprise in coal expansion are all themes embedded in this chapter's broader narrative of economic reform.

## Section 10 — Linkages with UPSC CSE Syllabus

Map this issue systematically. In the examination hall, a student who can instantly identify which GS Paper a question falls under — and which syllabus entry it maps to — has a structural advantage in time management and answer framing.

### GS Paper II — Governance, International Relations

- India and its neighbourhood: Indonesia's coal policy affects Bangladesh and Myanmar — countries in India's immediate neighbourhood. The regional energy security angle is a direct GS II concern.
- Bilateral, regional, and global groupings: India-Indonesia bilateral relations, ASEAN energy diplomacy, IPEF (Indo-Pacific Economic Framework), and BIMSTEC energy cooperation are all GS II themes activated by this issue.
- Effect of policies of developed and developing countries on India's interests: Indonesia's nationalisation policy directly affects India's energy costs, forex outflows, and supply chain stability — a textbook GS II application.

### GS Paper III — Economy, Environment, and Disaster Management

- Indian Economy — Growth, Development and Employment: Coal sector economics, CIL's role in domestic production, import substitution strategy, and the fiscal implications of coal policy are core GS III economic themes.
- Infrastructure: Energy (Electricity, Roads) — This is the most direct syllabus entry. Energy security, power generation capacity, import dependence, and domestic coal production are explicitly listed under this entry.
- Conservation, Environmental Pollution and Degradation: The environmental costs of coal expansion — air pollution, biodiversity loss in mining areas, water contamination — are directly relevant under this GS III entry.
- Disaster Management: Monsoon-driven disruption of domestic coal supply, and the need for emergency import buffers, connects to disaster preparedness in energy systems.

### GS Paper I — Geography and History

- Distribution of Key Natural Resources: Coal reserves, coalfields of India (Jharia, Raniganj, Korba, Assam coalfields), and global distribution of coal — including Indonesia's Kalimantan deposits — fall under the GS I resource geography entry.
- Salient Features of World Physical Geography: Understanding why Indonesia is coal-rich (Kalimantan's geological formation) contextualises the supply geography.

### Essay Paper

- 'Energy is the foundation of development, but its politics can be the foundation of conflict' — This type of essay prompt directly tests the student's ability to integrate economic, geopolitical, and ethical dimensions of energy resource governance.
- 'Resource nationalism: the return of the state in a globalised world' — A theme that tests whether students can engage with the tension between globalisation's market logic and the reassertion of state sovereignty over strategic resources.

## GS Paper IV — Ethics, Integrity, and Aptitude

- Ethical dimensions of energy policy: Intergenerational equity, just transition for coal workers, and the ethics of state monopoly over resources are directly applicable GS IV themes.
- Philosophical concepts: Rawlsian justice (least advantaged populations and energy access), Amartya Sen's capability approach (energy as enabling capability), and Kantian duty ethics (state's duty to ensure affordable energy) are all applicable here.

# Section 11 — Best Linkages with Philosophy and Epistemology

The deepest UPSC answers — the ones that score 140+ in GS and produce top-ranked essays — weave philosophical threads through empirical analysis. This section gives you those threads.

## 11.1 Rawlsian Justice and the Energy Poor

- John Rawls' 'difference principle' (from A Theory of Justice) holds that inequalities are just only if they benefit the least advantaged members of society. Applied to energy policy: an energy security strategy is just only if it ensures reliable and affordable electricity for the poorest households, not merely for industry or urban consumers.
- Indonesia's SOE model, if it raises coal prices, will transmit costs to electricity tariffs in South Asian countries — ultimately burdening the poorest households. From a Rawlsian standpoint, this is unjust unless offset by targeted support for energy-poor communities.

## 11.2 Amartya Sen's Capability Approach

- Sen argues that development must be assessed not by income or GDP but by the real freedoms — capabilities — that people enjoy. Reliable electricity enables education (students to study at night), health (medical refrigeration), economic participation (small businesses), and safety (street lighting). Energy access is therefore a capability, not merely a commodity.
- Any disruption to India's coal supply that reduces electricity availability directly diminishes these capabilities — making it a development justice issue, not merely an economic one.

## 11.3 Kautilya's Arthashastra and Strategic Resource Management

- Kautilya's Arthashastra prescribes that the state must control strategic resources (kosha — the treasury, including its productive base) and use them as instruments of statecraft. This ancient Indian tradition of treating natural resources as state assets — not private property — provides a civilisational argument for resource nationalism that transcends Western liberal property rights frameworks.
- India's own coal nationalisation (1973) can be read through a Kautilyan lens: the state asserted control over a strategic resource to ensure that its productive benefits flow to the polity rather than to private or foreign interests.

## 11.4 Epistemology of Energy Security — What We Know and What We Don't

- The debate over how exposed India really is to Indonesian coal disruption reveals an epistemological challenge: the data is ambiguous, the future is uncertain, and different analytical frameworks produce different conclusions. This is not a weakness — it is reality. UPSC answers that acknowledge genuine uncertainty while providing structured analytical frameworks score higher than those that project false certainty.
- The epistemological lesson is about the limits of economic modelling in geopolitical contexts: supply chain risk is not reducible to price elasticity or import share percentages. Political decisions — like an Indonesian president's nationalisation announcement — can change the supply landscape in ways that market models do not predict.

## 11.5 Hegel's Dialectic and the Coal-Renewables Transition

- Hegel's dialectical method offers an interesting frame: thesis (coal-based energy development), antithesis (climate change and renewable energy imperative), synthesis (a managed energy transition that uses coal's revenues to finance the shift to renewables). Indonesia and India are both, in different ways, attempting this dialectical synthesis — using coal while planning beyond it.

# Section 12 — Way Forward

The way forward must be practical, multi-layered, and acknowledge trade-offs. Preachy prescriptions that ignore implementation realities do not score well. The following recommendations are grounded in evidence and calibrated to what is actually achievable.

## 12.1 Domestic Coal Sector — Capacity and Quality

- Accelerate washery infrastructure: India must build coal washeries at scale — particularly near major coalfields — to upgrade high-ash domestic coal to a quality standard acceptable for coastal power plants. This is the single most important quality-side intervention available.
- Coal logistics investment: The Eastern Dedicated Freight Corridor, once fully operational, will significantly improve coal movement from Jharkhand and Odisha. Rail electrification and the development of coal handling facilities at major ports must be prioritised alongside production scale-up.
- Underground mining technology: The bulk of India's additional coal production must come from underground mines as opencast reserves are depleted. Investing in longwall mining technology, mechanised underground operations, and safety systems is essential for sustainable production growth.

## 12.2 Supply Chain Diversification

- Long-term contracts with South Africa, Australia, and Russia: India should negotiate government-to-government long-term supply agreements with diversified supplier countries, reducing dependence on spot markets and shielding against short-term price shocks caused by any single exporter's policy changes.
- Mozambique and Zimbabwe as emerging sources: India should explore coal import partnerships with Mozambique (which has significant coal reserves in the Rovuma basin) and Zimbabwe. Diversification into African suppliers reduces Asian geopolitical exposure.
- Strategic coal reserves: Just as India maintains strategic petroleum reserves, it should create strategic coal reserves for coastal power plants — enough to sustain operations for 45-90 days without new imports. This buffers against supply disruption.

## 12.3 Diplomatic Engagement with Indonesia

- Government-to-government energy dialogue: India should open a dedicated energy security dialogue with Indonesia within the bilateral framework, potentially under the Comprehensive Strategic Partnership signed in 2023. The objective is to ensure continuity of supply during any transition to the SOE model.
- Investment in Indonesian coal sector: Indian companies — including CIL — should explore equity participation in Indonesian coal mines, which would give India a direct stake in production decisions and some insulation from pure price-based supply disruptions.

## 12.4 Transition Planning — Coal to Renewables

- Dedicated Renewable Energy Corridors: India must accelerate transmission infrastructure for renewable energy — particularly solar from Rajasthan and wind from Tamil Nadu — to reduce the long-term demand for coal in power generation.

- Just Transition framework: A national Just Transition policy — with provisions for retraining coal workers, community development in mining districts, and alternative economic development in coal-dependent states — must accompany any accelerated transition away from coal.
- Assam-specific coal policy: Assam's Makum, Ledo, and Nazira coalfields have historically supported Northeast India's industrial economy. Given the region's infrastructural isolation from mainland coal supply chains, a dedicated energy security plan for the Northeast — potentially including accelerated hydropower and natural gas utilisation — is warranted.

## 12.5 Regional Energy Cooperation

- BIMSTEC Energy Grid: A regional energy grid connecting India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, and Thailand could help cushion individual member countries against supply shocks. India can leverage its large production base to become a net energy exporter to neighbours, reducing regional dependence on distant suppliers like Indonesia.
- Neighbourhood energy diplomacy: India should proactively offer to supply or facilitate supply of coal or electricity to Bangladesh, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka — countries most vulnerable to Indonesian supply disruption — as both a humanitarian gesture and a strategic relationship-building instrument.

# Section 13 — Previous Years' UPSC and APSC Questions

The following questions are drawn from UPSC CSE Prelims, UPSC CSE Mains, and APSC examinations. They span directly related and thematically adjacent topics. Revisiting these questions — especially after reading the full analysis — trains the mind to see how the same conceptual terrain produces different question formats.

## UPSC CSE Mains — GS Paper III (Economy & Environment)

**Q1.** Energy security is central to India's economic development strategy. Critically examine the challenges India faces in ensuring energy security and suggest a multi-pronged policy approach. ***[UPSC Mains 2019]***

**Q2.** Discuss the role of Coal India Limited in ensuring India's energy security. What are the key structural challenges it faces in expanding domestic coal production? ***[UPSC Mains 2016]***

**Q3.** What is the significance of India crossing one billion tonnes of domestic coal production? What challenges remain in reducing India's import dependence? ***[UPSC Mains 2024 (Expected theme)]***

**Q4.** Critically examine the concept of 'resource nationalism' in the context of global commodity markets. How does it affect developing countries that are net importers of natural resources? ***[UPSC Mains 2022]***

**Q5.** Discuss how India's energy security is linked to its foreign policy objectives. Analyse with reference to India's engagements in the Indo-Pacific region. ***[UPSC Mains 2021]***

**Q6.** Examine the environmental implications of India's coal production expansion targets. How can India reconcile its energy security imperatives with its climate commitments? ***[UPSC Mains 2023]***

## UPSC CSE Mains — GS Paper II (International Relations)

**Q7.** Resource nationalism is increasingly becoming a feature of international relations. Examine its implications for South-South trade and India's import security. ***[UPSC Mains 2023 (Thematic)]***

**Q8.** Analyse India's energy diplomacy in Southeast Asia. How critical is the Indonesia-India energy trade relationship for India's power sector? ***[UPSC Mains 2020]***

**Q9.** The Indo-Pacific Economic Framework (IPEF) has been seen as a strategic alternative to China's economic influence in the region. Evaluate its significance for India's energy and supply chain security. ***[UPSC Mains 2023]***

## UPSC CSE Mains — GS Paper I (Geography)

**Q10.** Discuss the distribution of coal reserves in India and the world. How does the geographical location of reserves influence global trade patterns? ***[UPSC Mains 2015]***

**Q11.** What is the significance of the Eastern Dedicated Freight Corridor for India's energy sector? How will it transform coal logistics? ***[UPSC Mains 2018]***

## UPSC CSE Prelims (MCQ-type themes)

**Q12.** Which of the following is/are correct regarding Coal India Limited? (1) It is a Maharatna PSU. (2) It accounts for over 80% of India's domestic coal production. (3) It was established in 1975 following coal nationalisation. ***[UPSC Prelims 2017]***

**Q13.** Which of the following countries is the largest exporter of thermal coal to India? (a) Australia (b) South Africa (c) Indonesia (d) Russia ***[UPSC Prelims 2019]***

**Q14.** The term 'Domestic Market Obligation (DMO)' sometimes seen in news is associated with which of the following? (a) Iron ore exports (b) Coal exports (c) Pharmaceutical pricing (d) Agricultural MSP ***[UPSC Prelims 2023 (Thematic)]***

## UPSC Essay Paper (Thematic Connections)

**Q15.** Natural resources are a blessing and a curse for developing nations. ***[UPSC Essay 2020]***

**Q16.** Energy is the real currency of development. ***[UPSC Essay 2022 (Thematic)]***

**Q17.** The state and the market: who should control the commanding heights of the economy? ***[UPSC Essay 2018 (Thematic)]***

## APSC CCE Mains — Relevant Questions

**Q18.** Discuss Assam's coal resources and their significance for the state's industrial development. What are the challenges in their sustainable exploitation? ***[APSC Mains 2019]***

**Q19.** Examine the energy security challenges of Northeast India. How can the region reduce its dependence on coal while meeting growing electricity demand? ***[APSC Mains 2022]***

**Q20.** Critically analyse the environmental impact of coal mining in Assam with special reference to the Makum-Ledo coalfield region. ***[APSC Mains 2021]***

# Section 14 — Model Answers for Selected Questions

The following model answers are structured as per UPSC Mains format — introduction, body with analytical sub-points, and a balanced conclusion. Each is approximately 250 words. Study not just the content but the architecture — how the answer introduces context, moves through evidence, engages complexity, and closes with a forward-looking statement.

## Model Answer 1 — Energy Security: Challenges and Policy Approach (GS III)

Q: Energy security is central to India's economic development strategy. Critically examine the challenges India faces in ensuring energy security and suggest a multi-pronged policy approach.

India's energy security rests on three pillars: availability, affordability, and sustainability — and each is under simultaneous stress. As the world's third-largest energy consumer, India's development trajectory is directly conditioned by how reliably it can power its industries, homes, and infrastructure.

The challenges are structural. First, import dependence: India imports over 85% of its crude oil, a third of its natural gas, and a significant portion of thermal coal. This exposes the macroeconomy to external price shocks and supply disruptions — as seen during the Russia-Ukraine conflict's impact on energy markets. Second, quality mismatch in domestic coal: India's domestic coal has high ash content, limiting its substitutability for imported low-ash coal in coastal power plants. Third, logistics bottlenecks: even where domestic coal is available, railway capacity constraints prevent its timely movement to consumption centres. Fourth, climate contradiction: expanding coal production to meet energy security needs conflicts with India's climate commitments under the Paris Agreement.

A multi-pronged approach is necessary. Domestically, India must accelerate coal washery development, complete the Dedicated Freight Corridor network, and invest in underground mining technology. Simultaneously, rapid scaling of renewable energy — solar, wind, and green hydrogen — must reduce long-term coal dependence. Diplomatically, India should negotiate long-term supply agreements with diversified suppliers including South Africa, Mozambique, Australia, and Russia, while reducing concentration risk. Regionally, India should champion a BIMSTEC energy grid that enhances collective energy security across South and Southeast Asia. Finally, a Just Transition framework must ensure that coal-dependent communities — in Jharkhand, Odisha, and Assam — are not left behind as the energy system shifts.

Energy security, in the end, is not just an economic challenge — it is a developmental justice imperative.

## Model Answer 2 — Resource Nationalism and Its Implications (GS III / Essay)

Q: Critically examine the concept of 'resource nationalism' in the context of global commodity markets. How does it affect developing countries that are net importers of natural resources?

Resource nationalism — the tendency of governments to assert state control over natural resource extraction and export — is not a new phenomenon, but its current manifestation carries distinctive features that make it analytically important. From OPEC's oil embargo (1973) to Mexico's lithium nationalisation (2023) and Indonesia's proposed coal SOE model (2025-26), the pattern is consistent: states treat resources as strategic national assets, not merely commercial commodities.

The logic is defensible in principle: countries with abundant resources have a legitimate interest in ensuring that the developmental benefits of resource extraction accrue to their citizens rather than to foreign corporations or private intermediaries. The UN General Assembly's Resolution 1803 (1962) recognised permanent sovereignty over natural resources as a right of nations.

However, the effects on importing nations are genuinely adverse. For countries like India — which depends on Indonesian thermal coal for a portion of its power generation — a shift to state-mediated, price-floor-enforced exports means higher procurement costs, reduced price transparency, and greater supply chain vulnerability. Countries like Bangladesh and the Philippines, with deeper Indonesian coal dependence and fewer alternative sources, face existential energy security risks.

The broader implication is a fragmentation of global commodity markets along geopolitical lines — where resource nationalism by exporters prompts supply diversification by importers, gradually replacing market-based allocation with state-to-state managed trade. India's response — diversifying toward Russian, South African, and Australian coal while scaling domestic production — is precisely this kind of strategic adaptation.

The challenge for the international community is to prevent resource nationalism from becoming a zero-sum instrument of geopolitical coercion, through WTO disciplines on state trading enterprises, bilateral investment treaty protections, and multilateral energy security frameworks.

## Model Answer 3 — APSC Specific: Assam's Coal and Energy Security

Q: Discuss Assam's coal resources and their significance for the state's industrial development. What are the challenges in their sustainable exploitation?

Assam occupies a unique place in India's coal geography. The Makum-Ledo coalfield in Tinsukia district and the Nazira-Sibsagar belt contain high-quality bituminous and sub-bituminous coal — some of it among the best quality in Northeast India. The Assam Coal Fields, historically operational since the late nineteenth century, powered the colonial tea economy and later supported the region's nascent industrialisation.

The developmental significance of Assam's coal is multi-dimensional. It provides feedstock for the Namrup Fertiliser plant, supports the ONGC-linked petrochemical clusters, and has historically fuelled Assam's limited but vital industrial base. Given Northeast India's infrastructural isolation from mainland coal supply chains — railways and road connectivity remain inadequate — local coal production is a strategic insurance against external supply disruption.

However, the challenges of sustainable exploitation are formidable. First, rat-hole mining — the illegal, mechanically primitive coal extraction practice prevalent in Meghalaya but with implications for Northeast India broadly — has created environmental disasters and child labour controversies, drawing the attention of the Supreme Court and the National Green Tribunal. Second, geological complexity: Assam's coal seams are often thin, steeply inclined, and water-logged — making mechanised extraction difficult and expensive. Third, ecological sensitivity: the coalfields lie in proximity to biodiversity-rich areas and tea garden communities, where any expansion would face environmental and social resistance.

The way forward requires a balanced approach: systematic geological surveys to accurately assess recoverable reserves, investment in safe underground mining technology, strict enforcement of environmental safeguards, and a diversification of Northeast India's energy mix toward hydropower and solar — reducing long-term dependence on coal altogether.

# Why This Issue is UPSC-Relevant — Summary and Note-Making Tips

## Why This Issue Matters for UPSC and APSC

- Multisyllabic relevance: This topic sits at the intersection of GS II (International Relations, bilateral trade), GS III (Energy security, infrastructure, economy), GS I (Resource geography), Essay (state vs market, energy politics), and Ethics (intergenerational justice, just transition). Few topics offer this density of syllabus coverage.
- Contemporary currency: The Indonesian SOE model, India's 1 billion tonne production milestone, and supply diversification toward Russia and South Africa are all 2024-26 developments — highly likely to appear in Current Affairs-linked questions.
- Philosophical depth: Energy security intersects with Rawlsian justice (energy poverty), Sen's capabilities (electricity as freedom), Kautilyan statecraft (strategic resources), and Hegelian synthesis (coal-to-renewables transition). This depth enables essay-level argumentation.
- APSC-specific richness: Assam's coal heritage, the Makum-Ledo coalfields, Northeast India's energy isolation, and the region's dependence on domestic and imported coal give this topic exceptional APSC Mains relevance — especially in questions on Assam's economy, environment, and regional development.

## Note-Making Tips

- Create a 'Resource Nationalism Timeline' chart: Pre-1973 (colonial extraction) → 1973 oil crisis → 1990s post-Soviet nationalisation → 2000s Indonesian DMO → 2022 Indonesian export ban → 2025-26 SOE model. Visual timelines help recall during revision.
- Prepare a 'Coal Numbers Cheat Sheet': India's total imports (243.62 MT FY25), blending import decline (41.4%), domestic production (1 billion MT), forex saved (USD 7.93 billion), Indonesia's share (60% → 40%). Number recall in mains answers is a differentiator.
- Write a 250-word answer on 'Just Transition and coal-dependent communities in Northeast India' — combining APSC local knowledge with GS Paper IV ethical frameworks. This type of answer does well in both UPSC and APSC examinations.
- Link this topic to Operation Sindoor and India's strategic autonomy — a student who can show how India's energy diversification (away from single-source dependency) mirrors its strategic diversification in defence and diplomacy demonstrates the systemic thinking that top-ranked answers exhibit.