# AXIA IAS ACADEMY An Institute for Civil Services

# The Naga Peace Process: Breakdown, Factionalism, and the Way Forward

# I. Contextual Overview

- The Naga peace process began formally in 1997 with the ceasefire between Gol and NSCN(IM), culminating in the 2015 Framework Agreement.
- However, the process is now at a strategic crossroads, with rising internal dissent, tribal factionalism, and external pressures from Myanmar-based militant splinter groups.

# II. Key Issue: Defection of Ikato Chishi Swu

- Symbolic Impact: Being the son of NSCN(IM) founder Isak Chishi Swu, his defection undermines the legitimacy and cohesion of NSCN(IM).
- Allegations:
  - Leadership corruption and intelligence agency manipulation.
  - Marginalization of non-Tangkhul tribes (e.g., Semas).
- Consequences:
  - Tribes may realign loyalties.
  - NSCN(IM)'s dominance over the narrative of the Naga struggle weakens.

# III. Role of the Myanmar-Based Faction

- Leaders: Absalom Raman and Hangshi Tangkhul.
- Activities: Mining, syndicates, alleged collaboration with the Myanmar junta.
- Security Risk: Possibility of increased militant activity, narco-trafficking, and undermining of the peace process.

# IV. The 2015 Framework Agreement: A Stillborn Accord?

- Ambiguity: The terms such as "shared sovereignty" are undefined, leading to conflicting interpretations.
- NSCN(IM)'s Core Demands:
  - Separate flag, constitution, and a Greater Nagalim.
- Post-Article 370 Stance: Centre unwilling to accept symbolic concessions.
- Parallel Track with NNPGs: Weakens NSCN(IM)'s claim to singular representation of Naga interests.

## V. Structural Factors Undermining Peace

- Factionalism:
  - From the NNC-NSCN split post-1975 Shillong Accord to NSCN(IM)-NSCN(K) in 1988.
  - History of India and Myanmar leveraging factions for strategic advantage.
- Tribal Politics:
  - Tangkhul (Manipur-based) dominance is resented by other tribes (e.g., Semas, Aos, Lothas).
  - May provoke a realignment of tribal loyalties across factions.

### VI. Strategic and Security Implications

- National Security: Myanmar faction's militarization threatens the ceasefire architecture.
- Act East Policy: Stability in Nagaland and border areas is critical for projects like the Kaladan Corridor, India-Myanmar-Thailand Highway, etc.
- International Law Dimension: Myanmar-based insurgents operating across borders raise questions about transnational militancy, non-state actors, and refugee crises.

| Domain                 | Recommendations   |
|------------------------|---|
| Political Dialogue     | Restart trilateral talks (NSCN-IM, NNPGs,<br>Gol) with third-party facilitation from Naga<br>civil society and churches. Publish a white<br>paper clarifying the Framework Agreement. |
| Internal NSCN Reforms  | Encourage tribal rotation in leadership<br>roles, establish independent ombudsman<br>mechanisms, and reduce personalism in<br>decision-making.  |
| Border Security        | India-Myanmar joint monitoring mechanism<br>to tackle militant camps and mining<br>syndicates in Sumra tract.   |
| Developmental Strategy | Special package for employment,<br>connectivity, education, and infrastructure in<br>hill districts under a Naga-specific version of<br>the Aspirational Districts Programme.         |
| Symbolic Autonomy      | Cultural autonomy under Article 371(A)<br>could be expanded via new legal<br>instruments—e.g., a 'Naga Cultural and<br>Autonomy Council' without political<br>secession.              |

## VII. Recommendations for Course Correction

### VIII. Conclusion

The peace process is at a critical juncture where symbolism, sovereignty, and internal legitimacy collide with geopolitical pragmatism. If unresolved, factionalism could unravel not just the Naga talks but also threaten India's stability in the Northeast. A transparent, inclusive, and time-bound approach, guided by trust and accountability, is the only path forward.

# **UPSC Linkages**

- GS Paper II: Federalism, India's internal security challenges, North-East insurgencies, Role of civil society.
- GS Paper III: Border security, organized crime, external state and non-state actors.
- GS Paper IV: Ethical dilemmas in conflict resolution; leadership and integrity in public life.
- Essay Paper: "Peace without justice is a truce, not a resolution."